

AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution: 316
(A-17)

Introduced by: Florida, Pennsylvania, Georgia, California, New York,
Arizona, Texas, American College of Radiation Oncology,
American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians

Subject: Action Steps Regarding Maintenance of Certification

Referred to: Reference Committee C
(Kenneth M. Certa, MD, Chair)

1 Whereas, The AMA has established Policy D-275.954 which asks the American Board of
2 Medical Specialties (ABMS) to ensure that all ABMS member boards provide full transparency
3 related to the costs of preparing, administering, scoring, and reporting maintenance of
4 certification (MOC) and certifying examinations, and this policy also calls on the AMA to
5 continue to monitor the evolution of MOC, continue its active engagement in discussions
6 regarding their implementation, encourage specialty boards to investigate and/or establish
7 alternative approaches for MOC and prepare a yearly report to the AMA HOD regarding the
8 MOC process; and
9

10 Whereas, Hospitals, health care insurers, and at least one state board for medical licensure are
11 using participation in ABMS sponsored MOC programs featuring interval, high stakes
12 examinations as a condition for credentialing including for physicians previously “grandfathered
13 in” with “permanent specialty boards”; and
14

15 Whereas, The ABMS response to the AMA request for improvements in the MOC process to
16 work toward the elimination of lifelong interval, high stakes testing in favor of lifelong learning
17 featuring high quality continuing medical education course work as determined by the
18 physician’s specialty society in review of that physicians established medical practice was
19 inadequate and unsatisfactory; therefore be it
20

21 RESOLVED, That our American Medical Association affirm that lifelong learning is a
22 fundamental obligation of our profession (Directive to Take Action); and be it further
23

24 RESOLVED, That our AMA recognize that lifelong learning for a medical physician is best
25 achieved by ongoing participation in a program of high quality continuing medical education
26 (CME) course appropriate to that physician’s medical practice as determined by the relevant
27 specialty society (Directive to Take Action); and be it further
28

29 RESOLVED, That our AMA develop model state legislation that would bar hospitals, health care
30 insurers, and the state medical licensing board from using non-participation in the ABMS
31 sponsored MOC process using lifelong, interval, high stakes testing as a exclusionary criteria for
32 credentialing (Directive to Take Action); and be it further

1 RESOLVED, That our AMA join with state medical associations and specialty societies in
2 directly lobbying state medical licensing boards, hospital associations, and health care insurers
3 to adopt policy supporting the use of satisfactory demonstration of lifelong learning with high
4 quality CME as specified by a physician's specialty society for credentialing and bar these
5 entities from using the ABMS sponsored MOC process using lifelong interval high stakes testing
6 for credentialing (Directive to Take Action); and be it further
7

8 RESOLVED, That our AMA partner with state medical associations and specialty societies to
9 undertake a study with the goal of establishing a program that will certify physicians as
10 satisfying the requirements for continuation of their specialty certification by successful
11 demonstration of lifelong learning utilizing high quality CME appropriate for that physician's
12 medical practice as determined by their specialty society with a target start date of 2020 or
13 before, with report back biannually to the HOD and AMA members. (Directive to Take Action)

Fiscal Note: Not yet determined

Received: 05/03/17

The topic of this resolution is currently under study by the Council on Medical Education.

RELEVANT AMA POLICY

Maintenance of Certification and Osteopathic Continuous Certification D-275.954

Our AMA will:

1. Continue to monitor the evolution of Maintenance of Certification (MOC) and Osteopathic Continuous Certification (OCC), continue its active engagement in discussions regarding their implementation, encourage specialty boards to investigate and/or establish alternative approaches for MOC, and prepare a yearly report to the House of Delegates regarding the MOC and OCC process.
2. Continue to review, through its Council on Medical Education, published literature and emerging data as part of the Council's ongoing efforts to critically review MOC and OCC issues.
3. Continue to monitor the progress by the American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) and its member boards on implementation of MOC, and encourage the ABMS to report its research findings on the issues surrounding certification and MOC on a periodic basis.
4. Encourage the ABMS and its member boards to continue to explore other ways to measure the ability of physicians to access and apply knowledge to care for patients, and to continue to examine the evidence supporting the value of specialty board certification and MOC.
5. Work with the ABMS to streamline and improve the Cognitive Expertise (Part III) component of MOC, including the exploration of alternative formats, in ways that effectively evaluate acquisition of new knowledge while reducing or eliminating the burden of a high-stakes examination.
6. Work with interested parties to ensure that MOC uses more than one pathway to assess accurately the competence of practicing physicians, to monitor for exam relevance and to ensure that MOC does not lead to unintended economic hardship such as hospital de-credentialing of practicing physicians.
7. Recommend that the ABMS not introduce additional assessment modalities that have not been validated to show improvement in physician performance and/or patient safety.
8. Work with the ABMS to eliminate practice performance assessment modules, as currently written, from MOC requirements.
9. Encourage the ABMS to ensure that all ABMS member boards provide full transparency related to the costs of preparing, administering, scoring and reporting MOC and certifying examinations.

10. Encourage the ABMS to ensure that MOC and certifying examinations do not result in substantial financial gain to ABMS member boards, and advocate that the ABMS develop fiduciary standards for its member boards that are consistent with this principle.
11. Work with the ABMS to lessen the burden of MOC on physicians with multiple board certifications, particularly to ensure that MOC is specifically relevant to the physician's current practice.
12. Work with key stakeholders to (a) support ongoing ABMS member board efforts to allow multiple and diverse physician educational and quality improvement activities to qualify for MOC; (b) support ABMS member board activities in facilitating the use of MOC quality improvement activities to count for other accountability requirements or programs, such as pay for quality/performance or PQRS reimbursement; (c) encourage ABMS member boards to enhance the consistency of quality improvement programs across all boards; and (d) work with specialty societies and ABMS member boards to develop tools and services that help physicians meet MOC requirements.
13. Work with the ABMS and its member boards to collect data on why physicians choose to maintain or discontinue their board certification.
14. Work with the ABMS to study whether MOC is an important factor in a physician's decision to retire and to determine its impact on the US physician workforce.
15. Encourage the ABMS to use data from MOC to track whether physicians are maintaining certification and share this data with the AMA.
16. Encourage AMA members to be proactive in shaping MOC and OCC by seeking leadership positions on the ABMS member boards, American Osteopathic Association (AOA) specialty certifying boards, and MOC Committees.
17. Continue to monitor the actions of professional societies regarding recommendations for modification of MOC.
18. Encourage medical specialty societies' leadership to work with the ABMS, and its member boards, to identify those specialty organizations that have developed an appropriate and relevant MOC process for its members.
19. Continue to work with the ABMS to ensure that physicians are clearly informed of the MOC requirements for their specific board and the timelines for accomplishing those requirements.
20. Encourage the ABMS and its member boards to develop a system to actively alert physicians of the due dates of the multi-stage requirements of continuous professional development and performance in practice, thereby assisting them with maintaining their board certification.
21. Recommend to the ABMS that all physician members of those boards governing the MOC process be required to participate in MOC.
22. Continue to participate in the National Alliance for Physician Competence forums.
23. Encourage the PCPI Foundation, the ABMS, and the Council of Medical Specialty Societies to work together toward utilizing Consortium performance measures in Part IV of MOC.
24. Continue to assist physicians in practice performance improvement.
25. Encourage all specialty societies to grant certified CME credit for activities that they offer to fulfill requirements of their respective specialty board's MOC and associated processes.
26. Support the American College of Physicians as well as other professional societies in their efforts to work with the American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM) to improve the MOC program.
27. Oppose those maintenance of certification programs administered by the specialty boards of the ABMS, or of any other similar physician certifying organization, which do not appropriately adhere to the principles codified as AMA Policy on Maintenance of Certification.
28. Examine the activities that medical specialty organizations have underway to review alternative pathways for board recertification; and determine if there is a need to establish criteria and construct a tool to evaluate if alternative methods for board recertification are equivalent to established pathways.

29. Ask the ABMS to encourage its member boards to review their maintenance of certification policies regarding the requirements for maintaining underlying primary or initial specialty board certification in addition to subspecialty board certification, if they have not yet done so, to allow physicians the option to focus on maintenance of certification activities relevant to their practice.
 30. Call for the immediate end of any mandatory, secured recertifying examination by the ABMS or other certifying organizations as part of the recertification process for all those specialties that still require a secure, high-stakes recertification examination.
 31. Support a recertification process based on high quality, appropriate Continuing Medical Education (CME) material directed by the AMA recognized specialty societies covering the physician's practice area, in cooperation with other willing stakeholders, that would be completed on a regular basis as determined by the individual medical specialty, to ensure lifelong learning.
 32. Continue to work with the ABMS to encourage the development by and the sharing between specialty boards of alternative ways to assess medical knowledge other than by a secure high stakes exam.
 33. Continue to support the requirement of CME and ongoing, quality assessments of physicians, where such CME is proven to be cost-effective and shown by evidence to improve quality of care for patients.
 34. Through legislative, regulatory, or collaborative efforts, will work with interested state medical societies and other interested parties by creating model state legislation and model medical staff bylaws while advocating that Maintenance of Certification not be a requirement for: (a) medical staff membership, privileging, credentialing, or recredentialing; (b) insurance panel participation; or (c) state medical licensure.
 35. Increase its efforts to work with the insurance industry to ensure that maintenance of certification does not become a requirement for insurance panel participation.
- CME Rep. 2, I-15 Appended: Res. 911, I-15 Appended: Res. 309, A-16 Appended: CME Rep. 02, A-16 Appended: Res. 307, I-16 Appended: Res. 310, I-16